

Nutritional Sciences as Catalysts for Sustainable Development Goals in the Global South

OPEN ACCESS

Volume: 13

Special Issue: 2

Month: February

Year: 2026

P-ISSN: 2321-788X

E-ISSN: 2582-0397

Citation:

Alamelumangai, S.
“Nutritional Sciences as Catalysts for Sustainable Development Goals in the Global South.” *Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities*, vol. 13, no. S2, 2026, pp. 104–10.

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.34293/sijash.v13iS2-Feb.10189>

S. Alamelumangai

*Assistant Professor & Head,
Department of Home Science (Food Service Management & Dietetics),
Kanchi Mammunivar Government Institute for Postgraduate Studies and
Research(Autonomous), Affiliated to Pondicherry University, Puducherry*

Abstract

Nutritional sciences play a pivotal yet frequently underestimated role in advancing the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly within the socio-economic and environmental contexts of the Global South. Despite global commitments to end hunger and improve health, malnutrition in all its forms—undernutrition, micronutrient deficiencies, overweight, and diet-related non-communicable diseases—continues to impede sustainable development. This conference paper adopts a conceptual and policy-oriented approach to examine how nutritional sciences function as catalytic drivers for achieving the SDGs, especially Zero Hunger (SDG 2), Good Health and Well-Being (SDG 3), Reduced Inequalities (SDG 10), and Responsible Consumption and Production (SDG 12). Drawing on peer-reviewed literature from the past decade, the paper situates nutrition at the intersection of food systems, public health, social equity, and environmental sustainability, with particular attention to the lived realities of the Global South. Emphasis is placed on indigenous food systems, nutrition transitions, and context-sensitive policy frameworks. The paper concludes by proposing evidence-informed strategies that integrate nutritional sciences into national development agendas through multisectoral collaboration and culturally grounded action. The analysis affirms that sustainable development goals are unlikely to be realized without placing human nutrition—both as scientific knowledge and social practice—at the center of development planning. Keywords: Hegemonic Masculinity, Post-Truth, Patriarchy, Gender Inequality, Resistance, Autobiographical Narratives .

Keywords: Nutritional Sciences, Sustainable Development Goals, Global South, Food Systems, Nutrition Policy.

Introduction

The adoption of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015 represented a global commitment to address persistent and interlinked challenges related to poverty, health, inequality, and environmental degradation. Nutrition occupies a foundational position within this agenda, influencing human capital development, productivity, learning capacity, and population health outcomes (Haddad et al., 2016). Yet, despite its centrality, nutrition has often been treated as a sectoral concern rather than a core driver of sustainable development.

In the Global South, nutritional challenges remain deeply entrenched. Under nutrition and micronutrient deficiencies continue to affect millions, particularly women and children, while rapid

urbanization and globalization of food systems have contributed to a sharp rise in overweight, obesity, and diet-related non-communicable diseases (Popkin et al., 2020). This coexistence of under nutrition and over nutrition—commonly described as the double burden of malnutrition—reflects broader structural inequalities and transitions in food environments (Swinburn et al., 2019).

Evidence from the past decade highlights that progress toward the SDGs has been uneven and fragile, with nutrition-related indicators stagnating or worsening in several low- and middle-income countries (Development Initiatives, 2022). These trends underscore the need to reposition nutritional sciences not merely as a response to development failures, but as a catalytic force capable of shaping sustainable, equitable futures.

Nutritional sciences encompass a broad and interdisciplinary field, including public health nutrition, clinical nutrition, food science, dietetics, and community nutrition. Together, these domains address the biological, social, cultural, and environmental determinants of diet and health (Fanzo et al., 2020). In the Global South, where food is inseparable from culture, livelihoods, gender roles, and ecological conditions, nutrition science must be contextual, humane, and socially grounded.

This paper focus that nutritional sciences are essential catalysts for achieving the SDGs in the Global South. Through a conceptual synthesis and policy-oriented analysis, the paper explores how nutrition intersects with food systems, health, inequality, and sustainability, and proposes strategies for embedding nutritional sciences more firmly within development planning.

Nutritional Sciences and Sustainable Development

Conceptually, nutrition operates both as an outcome of development and as a driver of development processes. Adequate nutrition supports physical growth, cognitive development, educational attainment, and economic productivity, thereby influencing multiple SDGs simultaneously (Victora et al., 2008). Conversely, malnutrition perpetuates cycles of poverty, ill health, and social exclusion.

Nutritional sciences encompass a broad disciplinary spectrum, including public health nutrition, clinical nutrition, food science, dietetics, and community nutrition. These domains collectively address the biological, behavioral, social, and environmental determinants of dietary intake and nutritional status. Conceptually, nutrition operates at the intersection of food systems, health systems, and social systems, positioning it as a catalyst rather than a passive endpoint of development processes (Global Nutrition Report, 2021).

The SDGs explicitly acknowledge nutrition through SDG 2 (Zero Hunger), which aims to end hunger, achieve food security, improve nutrition, and promote sustainable agriculture. However, nutrition also underpins progress toward SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-Being), SDG 4 (Quality Education), SDG 5 (Gender Equality), SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities), and SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production). The conceptual framework adopted in this paper views nutritional sciences as a bridging discipline that translates scientific evidence into multisectoral development action.

Nutritional Sciences and SDG 2: Zero Hunger and Food Security

Food security and nutrition are deeply interconnected; however, policy responses in much of the Global South have traditionally focused on increasing food availability rather than improving the nutritional quality of diets. Nutritional sciences broaden this perspective by drawing attention to nutrient adequacy, dietary diversity, and effective food utilization as fundamental pillars of food security (FAO et al., 2019).

Growing evidence demonstrates that meeting calorie requirements alone is insufficient to prevent malnutrition, particularly micronutrient deficiencies and adverse maternal and child health outcomes (Ruel et al., 2018). In this context, nutritional sciences play a critical role by identifying population-specific nutrient gaps, analyzing dietary patterns, and guiding food-based interventions that are both culturally appropriate and environmentally responsible. Over the past decade, increased scholarly attention to traditional dietary practices, neglected and underutilized crops, and indigenous food systems—especially in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa—has reinforced the value of locally grounded nutrition solutions (Béné et al., 2019).

Climate variability further compounds food security challenges in the Global South, underscoring the importance of nutrition-sensitive agriculture. Nutritional sciences inform strategies such as crop diversification, biofortification, and sustainable production models that strengthen resilience while improving nutritional outcomes (Ruel & Alderman, 2013; Fanzo et al., 2018). Together, these approaches represent a shift away from yield-focused paradigms toward food systems that prioritize both human well-being and planetary health.

Nutrition and SDG 3: Health and Well-Being

Nutrition is fundamental to health across the life course. In the Global South, undernutrition remains a leading contributor to child mortality, while diet-related non-communicable diseases are rising rapidly (Victora et al., 2021). Nutritional sciences provide evidence-based strategies for prevention, management, and health promotion.

Recent studies link dietary transitions toward ultra-processed foods with increased risks of obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease in low- and middle-income countries (Popkin et al., 2020). Nutritional sciences support public health interventions such as dietary guidelines, community nutrition programs, and integration of nutrition counseling into primary health care.

Life-course approaches are particularly critical. Investments in maternal, infant, and adolescent nutrition yield long-term benefits for human capital and economic development, reinforcing nutrition as a strategic development priority (Hoddinott et al., 2013).

Nutrition, Inequality, and SDG 10

Malnutrition in the Global South is deeply shaped by social and economic inequalities. Nutritional outcomes differ significantly by income, gender, education, and place of residence (Smith & Haddad, 2015). Nutritional sciences, when combined with social science insights, illuminate how structural inequities influence diets and health.

Women's nutrition is especially critical, given its intergenerational consequences. Evidence shows that empowering women through nutrition-sensitive social protection and education improves household dietary quality and child nutrition outcomes (Raghunathan et al., 2018). Addressing inequality through nutrition-sensitive policies thus contributes directly to SDG 10.

Sustainable Diets and SDG 12

Sustainable diets—those that promote health while minimizing environmental impact—have emerged as a key focus of nutritional sciences in the past decade (FAO & WHO, 2019). Nutritional sciences provide tools to assess the environmental footprints of diets and guide responsible consumption patterns.

In the Global South, traditional diets often align closely with sustainability principles but are increasingly displaced by globalized food systems (Willett et al., 2019). Revitalizing local food cultures, reducing food loss and waste, and promoting plant-rich diets are strategies that advance both nutrition and environmental goals.

Policy Recommendations: Translating Nutritional Sciences into SDG Action in the Global South

Although the scientific evidence establishing the relationship between nutrition and sustainable development is well established, converting this knowledge into meaningful and sustained action remains a major challenge. Nutritional sciences can function as true catalysts for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals only when they are embedded within integrated, multisectoral policy frameworks. In the context of the Global South, where development challenges are complex and interconnected, nutrition policies must be responsive to social realities, cultural practices, and environmental constraints. The following policy recommendations are informed by recent research and are designed to support context-specific, sustainable action.

Mainstreaming Nutrition within National Development Planning

Nutrition should be positioned as a central component of national development agendas rather than being confined to the health sector alone. Evidence from the past decade indicates that countries adopting nutrition-sensitive development strategies show stronger progress across SDG targets related to health, education, and poverty reduction (Haddad et al., 2016; Development Initiatives, 2022). To achieve policy coherence, governments should incorporate nutrition impact assessments into sectors such as agriculture, education, urban development, and climate action. Such integration ensures that development initiatives contribute positively to nutritional outcomes rather than inadvertently undermining them.

Reorienting Food Systems toward Nutrition Sensitivity

Food systems across the Global South require a deliberate shift toward dietary quality, sustainability, and social equity. Nutritional sciences provide critical guidance by identifying nutrient-dense, locally available foods and encouraging diversification beyond a limited number of staple crops (Fanzo et al., 2020). Policy support for smallholder farmers, indigenous crop varieties, and climate-resilient agricultural practices has been shown to improve both food security and nutrition when aligned with public health goals (Béné et al., 2019). Context-specific biofortification strategies further offer potential for addressing widespread micronutrient deficiencies, particularly in vulnerable populations.

Prioritizing Maternal, Child, and Adolescent Nutrition across the Life Course

Adopting a life-course perspective on nutrition is essential for achieving sustainable development outcomes. Extensive research demonstrates that investments in maternal and early childhood nutrition generate long-term benefits, including improved cognitive development, higher educational achievement, and enhanced economic productivity (Hoddinott et al., 2013; Victora et al., 2016). Policy frameworks should therefore strengthen nutrition services within primary health care, expand school feeding and adolescent nutrition programs, and ensure targeted support for socially and economically marginalized groups.

Responding to the Double Burden of Malnutrition through Regulatory Action

The simultaneous presence of undernutrition and diet-related non-communicable diseases necessitates comprehensive and coordinated policy responses. Nutritional sciences support the use of regulatory measures such as front-of-pack food labeling, restrictions on the marketing of unhealthy foods, and fiscal policies aimed at reducing the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages and ultra-processed foods (Swinburn et al., 2019; Popkin et al., 2020). To be effective and equitable, these measures must be adapted to local food environments and consumption patterns, with safeguards to prevent unintended impacts on low-income populations.

Strengthening Community Engagement and Cultural Relevance

Nutrition policies in the Global South are most effective when they are grounded in local cultures and shaped through community participation. Nutritional sciences increasingly advocate participatory approaches that recognize indigenous knowledge systems, traditional cuisines, and locally embedded food practices as valuable resources for sustainable nutrition (Johns et al., 2013; FAO et al., 2019). Empowering communities through culturally sensitive nutrition education, local food initiatives, and inclusive decision-making processes enhances both ownership and the long-term sustainability of interventions.

Discussion: Nutritional Sciences as an Interdisciplinary Bridge

The synthesis of evidence presented in this paper affirms the role of nutritional sciences as a connecting thread between scientific knowledge, social contexts, and policy action. In the Global South, nutrition-related challenges seldom exist in isolation; instead, they are intertwined with persistent social inequalities, environmental pressures, and rapidly changing cultural and economic landscapes. Addressing nutrition, therefore, requires moving beyond narrow sectoral perspectives toward a more integrated understanding of development.

From the author's perspective, the strength of nutritional sciences lies in their capacity to engage across disciplines. While biomedical research remains fundamental, it is increasingly evident that biological solutions alone are insufficient. Meaningful and lasting improvements in nutritional outcomes demand engagement with social sciences, economics, environmental studies, and cultural analysis. Recent research reinforces that fragmented or siloed interventions—whether focused solely on agriculture, health, or education—fail to capture the complex and interdependent drivers of malnutrition in the Global South (Ruel et al., 2018; Fanzo et al., 2020).

Equally important is the recognition that the Global South is not merely a context of nutritional deficits but a repository of knowledge and practice. Traditional food systems, indigenous crops, and culturally embedded dietary patterns offer valuable lessons for building sustainable and nutritionally adequate food systems. Rather than uncritically adopting models developed in the Global North, development strategies should engage with and strengthen these local food cultures, many of which already embody principles of sustainability, diversity, and resilience.

This reframing is significant. Viewing the Global South as a source of innovation rather than a passive recipient of solutions shifts the narrative toward dignity, agency, and contextual relevance. Nutritional sciences, when practiced with sensitivity to culture and lived experience, can serve as a powerful interdisciplinary bridge—linking science with humanity, policy with practice, and global goals with local realities.

Conclusion

This paper has examined the role of nutritional sciences as catalytic drivers for advancing the Sustainable Development Goals in the Global South. By positioning nutrition at the intersection of food systems, public health, social equity, and environmental sustainability, the analysis demonstrates that progress toward the SDGs depends fundamentally on how societies nourish their populations. Nutritional sciences emerge not only as a body of scientific knowledge but also as a socially embedded practice that shapes health, dignity, and resilience across the life course.

As a conceptual and policy-oriented contribution, this paper is limited by its reliance on secondary literature and its broad regional scope, which may not fully capture local variations in implementation and lived experience. Future research should therefore prioritize interdisciplinary, context-specific, and community-engaged approaches that strengthen the translation of nutritional science into effective policy and practice. Greater investment in participatory research, nutrition-

sensitive governance, and knowledge exchange within the Global South will be essential for sustaining progress.

In conclusion, embedding nutritional sciences at the heart of development planning offers a powerful pathway toward healthier populations, more equitable food systems, and environmentally responsible futures. By valuing local knowledge, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, and aligning policy with lived realities, the Global South can not only accelerate progress toward the SDGs but also contribute humane and sustainable development models to the global community.

References

1. Béné, C., Fanzo, J., Prager, S. D., Achicanoy, H. A., Mapes, B. R., Alvarez Toro, P., & Bonilla Cedrez, C. (2019). Global drivers of food system (un)sustainability: A multi-country correlation analysis. *PLOS ONE*, 14(4), e0214971. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214971>
2. Development Initiatives. (2022). *Global nutrition report: The state of global nutrition*. Bristol, UK.
3. FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP, & WHO. (2019). *The state of food security and nutrition in the world*. Rome: FAO.
4. FAO & WHO. (2019). *Sustainable healthy diets: Guiding principles*. Rome: FAO.
5. Fanzo, J., Haddad, L., McLaren, R., Marshall, Q., Davis, C., Herforth, A., ... Resnick, D. (2020). The food systems dashboard is a new tool to inform better food policy. *Nature Food*, 1(4), 243–246.
6. Global Nutrition Report. (2021). *Global nutrition report 2021: The state of global nutrition*. Development Initiatives.
7. Haddad, L., Hawkes, C., Waage, J., Webb, P., Godfray, C., & Toulmin, C. (2016). A new global research agenda for food. *Nature*, 540(7631), 30–32.
8. Hoddinott, J., Alderman, H., Behrman, J. R., Haddad, L., & Horton, S. (2013). The economic rationale for investing in stunting reduction. *Maternal & Child Nutrition*, 9(S2), 69–82.
9. Johns, T., Powell, B., Maundu, P., & Eyzaguirre, P. B. (2013). Agricultural biodiversity as a link between traditional food systems and contemporary development. *Food Security*, 5(4), 439–451.
10. Popkin, B. M., Corvalan, C., & Grummer-Strawn, L. M. (2020). Dynamics of the double burden of malnutrition and the changing nutrition reality. *The Lancet*, 395(10217), 65–74.
11. Raghunathan, K., Headey, D., & Herforth, A. (2018). *Affordability of nutritious diets in rural India*. IFPRI Discussion Paper.
12. Ruel, M. T., & Alderman, H. (2013). Nutrition-sensitive interventions and programmes: How can they help to accelerate progress in improving maternal and child nutrition? *The Lancet*, 382(9891), 536–551.
13. Ruel, M. T., Quisumbing, A. R., & Balagamwala, M. (2018). Nutrition-sensitive agriculture: What have we learned? *Global Food Security*, 17, 128–153.
14. Smith, L. C., & Haddad, L. (2015). Reducing child undernutrition: Past drivers and priorities for the post-MDG era. *World Development*, 68, 180–204.
15. Swinburn, B. A., Kraak, V. I., Allender, S., Atkins, V. J., Baker, P. I., Bogard, J. R., ... Dietz, W. H. (2019). The global syndemic of obesity, undernutrition, and climate change. *The Lancet*, 393(10173), 791–846.
16. Victora, C. G., Christian, P., Vidaletti, L. P., Gatica-Domínguez, G., Menon, P., & Black, R. E. (2021). Revisiting maternal and child undernutrition in low-income and middle-income countries. *The Lancet*, 397(10282), 1382–1398.

17. Victora, C. G., Adair, L., Fall, C., Hallal, P. C., Martorell, R., Richter, L., & Sachdev, H. S. (2008). Maternal and child undernutrition: Consequences for adult health and human capital. *The Lancet*, 371(9609), 340–357.
18. Willett, W., Rockström, J., Loken, B., Springmann, M., Lang, T., Vermeulen, S., ... Murray, C. J. L. (2019). Food in the Anthropocene: The EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. *The Lancet*, 393(10170), 447–492.