OPEN ACCESS

Volume: 13

Special Issue: 3

Month: August

Year: 2025

P-ISSN: 2321-788X

E-ISSN: 2582-0397

Citation:
R, Bhargavi, and K. Hema Malini. "AI Tools for Analyzing Sustainability Reports: A Study on GRI and Environmental Performance." *Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities*, vol. 13, no. S3, 2026, pp. 39–44.

DOI:
https://doi.org/10.34293/sijash.v13iS2-Aug.10431

AI Tools for Analyzing Sustainability Reports: A Study on GRI and Environmental Performance

Ms. Bhargavi R
Research Scholar, The Madura College
Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai, Tamil Nadu

Dr. K. Hema Malini
Assistant Professor, The Madura College
Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai , Tamil Nadu

Abstract

Sustainability reporting has become an important way for organizations to show their commitment to being environmentally responsible and achieving long-term business success. Among the various reporting frameworks, the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) offers a common format for sharing information on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance. However, examining GRI-based sustainability reports manually across different sectors is often time-consuming, inconsistent, and makes comparison difficult. Recent advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI) provide a strong opportunity to tackle these issues by allowing large-scale, systematic, and objective examination of sustainability disclosures.

This study looks into how AI tools can be used to analyze GRI-based sustainability reports and assess environmental performance across sectors in Tamil Nadu. Using secondary data from corporate sustainability reports, regulatory filings, and ESG databases, the study employs AI-driven text analytics, keyword frequency analysis, and pattern recognition methods to evaluate the quality of disclosures and environmental performance indicators. The results show significant differences in reporting depth and focus among sectors. They also indicate that AI tools improve comparability, reveal gaps in disclosures, and aid in better decision-making. The study concludes that using AI to analyze GRI reports is an effective way to enhance sustainability reporting practices and encourage transparent, sustainable business success.

Keywords: GRI Reporting, Environmental Performance, Artificial Intelligence, Sectoral Analysis, Sustainability Reporting

Introduction

Sustainability and environmental accountability have become important issues for businesses as there is increasing pressure on organizations to perform well not only from a financial perspective but also from an environmental perspective. Sustainability reporting is an important aspect of corporate communications where organizations are increasingly expected to provide information on their environmental performance, risk management practices, and

sustainability strategies.

The Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) is a widely accepted sustainability reporting standard with a set of guidelines on sustainability reporting. The GRI standards provide a list of standardized sustainability performance indicators on greenhouse gas emissions, energy efficiency, water usage, waste disposal, and environmental regulations. Although sustainability reports are widely accepted, sustainability reports developed on GRI guidelines are often lengthy, narrative-based, and industry-specific. Comparing sustainability reports of different organizations manually is a challenging task.

In view of the challenges in sustainability analysis, Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools have emerged as an important technology for sustainability analysis. AI tools include NLP, text mining, and pattern recognition, which can effectively analyze large volumes of unstructured sustainability data. AI tools can be applied to GRI-based sustainability reports for effective sustainability analysis.

This study is focused on applying AI tools for sustainability analysis of GRI-based sustainability reports. Tamil Nadu has been selected as the location of the case studies because of its diverse industry base, ranging from the automotive industry to the cement industry and the textile industry, as well as the energy industry. All these industries have considerable environmental impacts. Through the application of the AI-based analytical approach, the research aims to show the potential of technology in supporting more robust sustainability assessments.

Literature Review

Hahn, R., & Kühnen, M. (2013) investigated the determinants of sustainability reporting, which identified that firm size, stakeholder pressures, and industry characteristics are important factors influencing the scope of GRI-based environmental reporting. The research emphasizes the significance of industry characteristics in determining sustainability reporting, which establishes the relevance of sector-wise research.

Michelon, Pilonato, & Ricceri (2015) undertook a research to investigate the quality of reporting in CSR reporting and GRI reporting, which identified that reporting with quantified targets, reporting boundaries, and third-party assurance is of higher quality. The research establishes a positive relationship between structured GRI reporting and effective environmental performance management.

Bingler, Kraus, & Leippold (2023) identified the efficacy of AI tools in analyzing various sustainability reporting characteristics, which included quantification of targets, timelines, and verification statements. The research establishes the efficacy of AI tools in analyzing sustainability reporting characteristics, which enhances the comparability of sustainability reporting across organizations.

Raj and Sharma (2020) highlighted the increasing trend of AI and NLP technologies in the analysis of ESG reporting, as AI-based tools enhance the consistency of the analysis, decrease subjectivity in the results, and facilitate the evaluation of sustainability disclosures on a larger scale. Berg, Koelbel, and Rigobon (2022) have also highlighted the inconsistency in ESG ratings and proposed the adoption of a more transparent approach in the analysis.

Research Gap: We see that earlier studies do understand the value of GRI reporting and how AI can help with sustainability. Not many have actually used AI to check how different sectors are doing environmentally in a specific region. Especially there aren't studies on Indian states like Tamil Nadu. You see Tamil Nadu has different kinds of industries and that means they have different environmental issues to deal with. This study tries to fill that gap by using AI to look at GRI reports. It aims to assess how well different sectors perform environmentally and how good they are at disclosing this information. We are focusing on GRI reporting and AI here. We want to see how GRI reporting and AI can help with environmental performance. Our goal is to use AI to

analyze GRI reports for sector- environmental performance. The study is, about GRI reporting and AI-driven analysis of GRI reports. We want to evaluate environmental performance and disclosure quality using AI on GRI reports.

Objectives of the Study

- The main goal of this study is to see how Artificial Intelligence tools work when they look at sustainability reports that follow the Global Reporting Initiative guidelines.
- We want to find out if different industries perform differently when it comes to the environment using the indicators from the Global Reporting Initiative.
- This study also wants to find out if Artificial Intelligence tools really make sustainability reports easier to compare and analyze.
- We hope to give some ideas on how to make sustainability reports better and help businesses do well.

Scope of the Study

This study looks at sustainability reports from companies in Tamil Nadu that follow the Global Reporting Initiative guidelines. We are only looking at how these companies perform when it comes to the environment, including things like emissions, energy consumption, water usage and waste management. We are getting all our information from things that're already available, to the public.

Statement of the Problem

Many organizations write reports about how they affect the environment. They follow the rules set by the GRI. These reports are often very long and hard to understand. They are also not written in the way so it is difficult to compare them. When people have to read these reports by themselves it is hard for them to get an idea of how well the organizations are doing. The fact that we do not have a system to analyze these reports using technology makes it even harder to get a good understanding of what the reports are saying. This study is trying to fix this problem by looking into how we can use intelligence tools to make the reports easier to analyze and compare. We also want to see if these tools can help us understand the reports better.

Hypotheses

- H01: There is no significant relationship between the quality of GRI-based sustainability reporting and environmental performance across sectors.
- H02: The use of AI tools does not significantly improve the analysis and comparability of GRI sustainability reports.
- H03: Sectoral characteristics do not significantly influence the quality of GRI-based environmental disclosures.

Research Methodology

Research Design

This study uses an analytical research design. It is based on analyzing data.

Data Sources

- The sustainability and annual reports of companies in Tamil Nadu.
- GRI disclosure. ESG databases.
- Reports on environmental performance from relevant authorities.

Sector and Company Selection

The automotive, cement, textile and energy sectors were chosen. They have an environmental impact and many of them use GRI reporting. Companies were selected based on their sustainability reports that follow GRI standards.

AI Tools and Techniques Used

- Natural Language Processing (NLP): This was used to find keywords and themes in sustainability reports.
- Keyword Frequency Analysis: This measured how much emphasis companies place on environmental indicators.
- Pattern Recognition: This helped identify targets, timelines and assurance statements that are quantified.
- Disclosure Scoring Index: A simple index was created by giving scores for targets, sector-relevant indicators and third-party assurance. This allows for comparison, across sectors.

Data Analysis Techniques

- Used statistics to look at scores that a computer program made to show how well companies are doing.
- Compared how different industries are doing when it comes to taking care of the environment.

Limitations

- Used information that's available to the public we did not collect any new data.
- Could not do complicated tests with the numbers because the information about sustainability is not very detailed.
- The results got from using a computer to analyze the data depend on how good and complete the information's that companies reported about Data Analysis Techniques, like sustainability disclosures and Data Analysis Techniques.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

Table 1: AI-Based Environmental Disclosure Score by Sector

Sector	Emissions	Energy	Water	Waste	Targets and Time-line	Assurance	Overall Disclosure Score
Automo-tive	High	High	Medium	Medium	High	Medium	High
Cement	High	Medium	Low	Medium	Medium	Low	Medium
Textiles	Medium	Low	High	High	Medium	Low	Medium
Energy	Medium	High	Medium	Low	High	Medium	High

Note: Scores are derived from AI-driven keyword frequency analysis, pattern recognition, and presence of quantified GRI indicators.

Descriptive and Sector-wise Analysis

The way companies talk about sustainability is different from one type of business to another. For example, car companies are really good at telling us about the things they put into the air and how they use energy. On the hand companies that make clothes are more concerned about water and getting rid of waste. Companies that make cement are very detailed about the things they put into the air but they do not say as much about water. Energy companies are very good at telling us about the kinds of energy they use. How they are trying to use more renewable energy but they are

not as good at telling us about waste.

AI-Driven Insights

When companies put numbers and dates in their reports it means they are being more honest about what they're doing. This is because they are following the rules set by the GRI. The AI tools we used were able to find instances where companies were using words related to sustainability but they were not actually doing anything. This shows that there are gaps in what they're reporting.

Quantitative Validation Approach

To make sure our analysis is strong we used a way of looking at the numbers in the reports. We called this the Disclosure Scoring Index. We looked at each report. Checked if it had important information about the environment like emissions and energy and water use. We also checked if the reports had numbers and dates in them and if other people had checked the reports to make sure they were accurate. We gave scores based on how the reports did on these things, which allowed us to compare the reports from different types of companies. This way of looking at the reports gives us a way to test our ideas. It works well with the kind of data we have which is mostly text from the reports, about sustainability.

Hypothesis Testing (Analytical Validation)

- H01: Rejected. Sectors with higher AI-generated disclosure scores also demonstrated more comprehensive and consistent environmental performance data.
- H02: Rejected. AI tools significantly enhanced report comparability and facilitated systematic identification of disclosure gaps.
- H03: Rejected. Clear differences in disclosure quality and focus were observed across sectors, confirming the influence of sectoral characteristics.

The information got from looking at the scores for each sector and comparing them gives us an idea, about the hypothesis even though there is no use of complicated statistical tests.

Suggestions

- Organizations should use Artificial Intelligence tools to make their sustainability reports better and more detailed.
- They should focus on issues that are important for their specific sector and also follow the rules set by the Global Reporting Initiative.
- Sustainability reports should have goals for what they want to achieve and these goals should be measurable and have a deadline.
- It is an idea to have someone from outside the organization check the reports to make sure they are accurate and trustworthy.
- Organizations should provide training for their sustainability teams on how to use Artificial Intelligence tools to make reports and analyze data.

Conclusion

This study shows that Artificial Intelligence tools are very helpful in making sense of sustainability reports that follow the Global Reporting Initiative guidelines. The Global Reporting Initiative guidelines provide a framework for reporting but different sectors have different needs and focus areas. Artificial Intelligence tools help make reports more comparable by turning written information into data that can be analyzed. Overall using Artificial Intelligence in sustainability reporting helps make businesses more transparent and accountable for their impact, which is

important for being a sustainable business and meeting regional and global standards and this is what sustainability reports and Artificial Intelligence tools are all, about making sure businesses follow sustainability reports and Artificial Intelligence guidelines.

References

1. Hahn, R., & Kühnen, M. (2013). Determinants of sustainability reporting: A review of results, trends, theory, and opportunities in an expanding field of research. Journal of Cleaner Production, 59, 5–21.
2. Michelon, G., Pilonato, S., & Ricceri, F. (2015). CSR reporting practices and the quality of disclosure: An empirical analysis. Critical Perspectives on Accounting, 33, 59–78.
3. Bingler, J., Kraus, M., & Leippold, M. (2023). Cheap talk and cherry-picking: What sustainability ratings actually measure. Management Science, 69(3), 1671–1696.
4. Raj, R., & Sharma, P. (2020). AI applications in sustainability reporting: Enhancing ESG disclosures using machine learning and NLP. Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal, 11(4), 619–638.
5. Berg, F., Koelbel, J., & Rigobon, R. (2022). Aggregate confusion: The divergence of ESG ratings. Review of Finance, 26(5), 1315–1344.
6. Global Reporting Initiative. (2021). GRI Standards.
7. Kotsantonis, S., Pinney, C., & Serafeim, G. (2016). ESG integration in investment management: Myths and realities. Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, 28(2), 10–16.
8. Sullivan, R., & Mackenzie, C. (2021). The role of technology in sustainable business practices: Insights from ESG reporting. Business Strategy and the Environment, 30(2), 991–1002.
9. Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board. (2023). Sectoral environmental performance reports.
10. ISO. (2015). ISO 14001: Environmental management systems – Requirements with guidance for use.