ISSN: 2321-788X UGC Approval No: 43960 Impact Factor: 2.114

A PREAMBLE TO GRAPH THEORY IN COMMUNICATION NETWORK ANALYSIS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

Article Particulars

Received: 05.6.2017 Accepted: 20.7.2017 Published: 28.7.2017

K. THIRUGNANASAMBANDAM
PG & Research Department of Mathematics
Muthurangam Government Arts College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu

S. MAHENDRAKUMAR
Research Scholar in Mathematics
Manonmanium Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu

Abstract

Communication networks are an essential and pivotal element of the present world. Cell phones, the Internet, and all new applications and administrations gave by these media have changed drastically the manner in which both individual lives and social orders in general are composed. Every one of these administrations rely upon quick and solid information connections, regardless of whether wired or remote. To meet such requirements, information and communication innovation is tested over and over to give quicker conventions, remote interfaces with higher data transmission limit, imaginative instruments to deal with disappointments, etc. For many of those difficulties an assortment of numerical orders contribute in a strong job, either in giving bits of knowledge, proof, or algorithms or as choice help tools. Specifically, the expansive region of algorithmic discrete science assumes a significant part in the plan and operation of communication networks. Notwithstanding, the control is fragmented between logical teaches, for example, unadulterated arithmetic, theoretical computer science, conveyed computing, and operations research. Besides, scientists from communication designing use discrete numerical procedures and build up their own expansions. Graph/network theory results are appropriate to issues in communications. As an agent model, the hub shading issue in graph theory is appropriate to the divert assignment issue in cell portable communication systems. The hub shading issue is NP-complete, implying that ideally settling it is exceptionally troublesome. Consequently, we utilize heuristic algorithms for the channel assignment issue. For this situation, the graph theory results show the authenticity of utilizing heuristic strategies. Then again, we can legitimately apply graph theory to communication issues. Hence, the present study has been taken place with the primary objective of giving an introduction to graph theory in communication network analysis and its applications.

Keywords: *Discrete Mathematical Techniques, Communications, Channel Assignment, Communication Systems, Node Coloring & Location Problem and Heuristic Techniques.*

Introduction

Tremendous development in the fame of portable communication administrations has happened around the world. therefore, in our little circles of regular living, we are never a long way from portable communication administrations. communication networks, including versatile ones, are becoming another social framework in many nations. in addition, it-based social orders are relied upon to be set up to improve human life in many nations. be that as it may, many testing issues stay in the zone of communications, particularly multi-bounce remote networks (as such, versatile impromptu networks) to understand the full establishment of it-based social orders. graph/network theory is pertinent to issues in communications, including multi-jump remote networks. as an agent model, the hub shading issue in graph theory is appropriate to the direct assignment issue in cell portable communication systems.

The graph theoretical thoughts are utilized by different computer applications like information mining, picture segmentation, grouping, picture catching, networking and so on. graph theory can be utilized to speak to communication networks. a communications network is a collection of terminals, connections and hubs which interface with empower telecommunication between clients of the terminals. every terminal in the network must have an extraordinary location so messages or connections can be directed to the right beneficiaries. the collection of addresses in the network is known as the location space. each communications network has three essential components: terminals(the beginning and halting purposes of network), processors(which give information transmission control functions), transmission channels(which help in information transmission). the communication network plans to communicate parcels of information between computers, phones, processors or different gadgets. the term parcel alludes to some generally fixed-size amount of information, 256 bytes or 4096 bytes. the parcels are sent from contribution to yield through different switches. the communication networks can be spoken to utilizing the different numerical structures which also help us to compare the different representations dependent on congestion, switch size and switch tally. graphs have a significant application in displaying communications networks. for the most part, vertices in graph speak to terminals, processors and edges speak to transmission channels like wires, strands and so forth through which the information streams. hence, an information bundle bounces through the network from an info terminal, through a grouping of switches joined by coordinated edges, to a yield terminal

objectives of the study

The present study aimed with following primary and secondary objectives:

1. To know about network analysis as a short note.
2. To analyse the communication network its graphical representation.

3. To concise the concepts of graph theory.
4. To summarize the applications of graph theory in various disciplines.

Reviews of Related Literature

Loh et al. (2016) considered that a network is a graph-based representation which speaks to an issue as a graph to give an alternate perspective to the issue. an issue is a lot less difficult when it is spoken to as a graph since it can give the proper tools to tackling the issue. subsequently, graph or network goes about as a greatness demonstrating tool in speaking to a few fundamental issues in network, for example, availability, directing, information gathering, versatility, geography control, traffic examination, finding briefest way and burden adjusting. in such maner, this paper first presents ideas of graph theory and their related applications in different networking field. thusly, pertinent applications of graph-based representation in innovative fields are engaged and examined.

Bassey, u et al. (2013) have accomplished a work on the idiosyncrasies of network geography have been investigated to develop strategies for the solution of functional issues which manifest as graphs. they also give understanding into the extension and potential regions for improvement of existing networks and also the expense implication of fusing productivity factors into new plans. the most limited course algorithm was applied in characterizing the geography that expands dependability during asset transmission. the algorithm was implemented utilizing tora software on an excel stage.

A Short Note on Network Analysis

network analysis is a theory and set of methods used to portray the relations among people or different units, for example, organizations, states, or nations. networks are regularly used to speak to who knows whom or who converses with whom inside a community or organization. network analysis (na) is a lot of coordinated procedures to portray relations among entertainers and to dissect the social structures that rise up out of the repeat of these relations. the fundamental assumption is that better explanations of social phenomena are yielded by investigation of the relations among substances. this investigation is directed by gathering relational information composed in network structure. on the off chance that entertainers are portrayed as hubs, and their relations as lines among sets of hubs, the idea of social network changes from being an analogy to a usable systematic tool which uses the numerical language of graph theory and of grid and relational variable based math. albeit deterministic methodologies normally underscore that na empowers investigation of how the social structure of relationships around an individual, gathering, or organization influences practices and mentalities, fundamentally limited purposive actions may influence the social structure and the other way around. na can be viewed as a lot of procedures with a mutual methodological viewpoint, as opposed to as another worldview in the sociologies. na procedures permit analysts to determine observational pointers and to control field theories through the definition and measurement of traditional get all ideas like social structure and attachment.

Network analysis can also be shown in a progression of steps: picking a limit, applying the edge to a correlation network to create a contiguousness grid, and delivering the network from the nearness lattice. like factor examination, network investigation can start with a correlation network of associations among a lot of watched factors.

In the first and second step, a limit is picked and applied to "binarize" or "dichotomize" the correlation grid, making a nearness lattice. correlations with an outright incentive over the edge are given a "1" and those underneath are given a "0." (the binarization cycle is optional; another option, albeit a computationally more complex option, is to build a weighted network). from the nearness grid, a network can be direct developed: each watched variable is spoken to as a "hub" in the network and any pair of hubs with a "1" in the contiguousness network is given an "edge" or connection between them. note that the decision of edge is a questionable one and could significantly affect the structure of the resultant network. the decision of limit may rely upon a few factors: the size of the example from which the information was drawn, the decision of type i mistake rate, the thickness of the subsequent network, and the area from which the information was drawn. network measurements ought to preferably be applied over a scope of limits to show the outcome did not depend on a subjective edge determination. luckily, most network researchers are delicate to this issue, and many networks have been seen to have vigorous community structure over a scope of limits.

In spite of the fact that there are many network science measurements, as depicted prior, this section evaluates one specific measure identified with community structure. in networks got from certifiable information, it is frequently seen that networks can be partitioned into gatherings of hubs that are more interconnected among themselves than with hubs outside those gatherings. gatherings of hubs are classified "communities" or "modules," and a network is partitioned into communities through the operation of community detection algorithms. there are many such algorithms, each with focal points and disadvantages. perhaps the most mainstream sort of community detection algorithm among network specialists is particularity maximization algorithms. these algorithms work via looking through conceivable partitions of a network to discover those partitions with the most elevated measured quality worth (q). particularity is a measure intended to evaluate community structure. all the more explicitly, it is intended to gauge the nature of a specific partition of a network into modules or gatherings. computationally, seclusion (regularly alluded to as q) mirrors the quantity of connections between hubs inside a module less what might be normal given an irregular distribution of connections between all hubs paying little heed to modules. this worth changes from 0 to 1, with a higher worth reflecting more grounded community structure. it is also critical to take note of that this algorithm doesn't consider any cover in its assignment of hubs to communities, implying that hubs are set into just a single community. as a rule this is alluring, yet there are also conditions in which covering communities are more proper (e.g., social networks). for instance, in a fellowship network, it would be normal that specific hubs (i.e., people) bunch into numerous modules (i.e., companion gatherings), and an exact community detection algorithm would partition the network into covering communities.

Communication Network its Graphical Representation

This discussion alludes to hubs/switches rather than communication channel. the different terms associated with this setting are mentioned beneath:

1. Latency: this is the time required for a parcel to make a trip from a contribution to a yield. one proportion of dormancy is the quantity of switches that a parcel must go through when going between the most inaccessible info and yield.
2. Diameter: the measurement of a network is the quantity of switches on the most limited way between the info and yield that are farthest separated. accordingly, width is a surmised proportion of most pessimistic scenario inertness.
3. Congestion: congestion is characterized as the measurement to evaluate bottleneck issues in communication networks. it is the biggest number of bundles that wind up going through any switch.

Graph Theory Concepts

Average Path Length

The normal/average of the most brief way lengths for all conceivable hub sets gives a proportion of 'snugness' of the graph and can be utilized to see how rapidly/effectively something streams in this network.

BFS and DFS

Broadness first search and depth first search are two distinctive algorithms used to look for nodes in a graph. they are ordinarily used to make sense of in the event that we can arrive at a node from a given node. this is otherwise called graph traversal. the point of the bfs is to cross the graph as close as conceivable to the root node, while the dfs algorithm intends to move beyond what many would consider possible away from the root hub.

Centrality

One of the most broadly utilized and significant theoretical tools for investigating networks. centrality intends to locate the most significant hubs in a network. there might be distinctive notions of "significant" and thus there are many centrality measures. centrality estimates themselves have a type of classification (or types of centrality measures). there are measures that are described by stream along the edges and those that are portrayed by walk structure. probably the most commonly utilized ones are:

1. Degree Centrality – the first and reasonably the easiest centrality definition. this is the quantity of edges associated with a hub. on account of a coordinated graph, we can have 2 degree centrality measures. inflow and outflow centrality
2. Closeness Centrality – of a hub is the normal length of the most brief way from the hub to every single other hub
3. Betweenness Centrality – number of times a hub is available in the most brief way between 2 different hubs. these centrality measures have variations and the definitions can be implemented utilizing different algorithms. all things considered, this implies countless definitions and algorithms.

Network Density

A proportion of how many edges a graph has the genuine definition will differ contingent upon kind of graph and the setting in which the question is inquired. for a complete undirected graph the density is 1, while it is 0 for a void graph. graph density can be more noteworthy than 1 in some situations (including circles).

Graph Randomizations

While the definitions of some graph measurements perhaps simple to figure, it is difficult to comprehend their relative significance. we use network/graph randomizations in such cases. we compute the measurement for the current graph and for another comparative graph that is arbitrarily produced. this comparability can for instance be similar number of thickness and hubs. regularly we create a 1000 comparative arbitrary graphs and figure the graph metric for every one of them and afterward compare it with a similar measurement for the current graph to show up at some notion of a benchmark. in data science when attempting to make a case about a graph it helps on the off chance that it is stood out from some arbitrarily created graphs.

Applications of Graph Theory in Various Disciplines

Graphs can be utilized to demonstrate many kinds of relations and cycles in physical, organic, social and information systems. many reasonable issues can be spoken to by graphs. underlining their application to genuine systems, the term network is now and again characterized to mean a graph in which ascribes (for example names) are related with the vertices and edges, and the subject that communicates and comprehends this present reality systems as a network is called network science.

Computer Science

In computer science, graphs are utilized to speak to networks of communication, information organization, computational gadgets, the progression of computation, and so forth. for example, the connection structure of a site can be spoken to by a coordinated graph, in which the vertices speak to pages and coordinated edges speak to joins starting with one page then onto the next. a comparative methodology can be taken to issues in web-based media, travel, science, computer chip configuration, planning the movement of neuro-degenerative infections, and many different fields. the development of algorithms to deal with graphs is thusly of significant enthusiasm for computer science. the transformation of graphs is frequently formalized and spoken to by graph revamp systems. complementary to graph transformation systems zeroing in on rule-situated in-memory manipulation of graphs are graph information bases equipped towards transaction-protected, steady putting away and questioning of graph-organized information.

Linguistics

Graph-theoretic techniques, in different structures, have demonstrated especially helpful in etymology, since normal language frequently loans itself well to discrete structure. traditionally, grammar and compositional semantics follow tree-based structures, whose expressive force lies in the rule of compositionality, displayed in a progressive graph. more contemporary methodologies, for example, head-driven expression structure punctuation model the grammar of regular language utilizing composed component structures, which are coordinated non-cyclic graphs. inside lexical semantics, particularly as applied to computers, displaying word significance is simpler when a given word is perceived as far as related words; semantic networks are in this way significant in computational etymology. all things considered, different strategies in phonology (for example optimality theory, which utilizes grid graphs) and morphology (for example limited state morphology, utilizing limited state transducers) are common in the investigation of language as a graph. without a doubt, the handiness of this territory of arithmetic to semantics has borne organizations, for example, textgraphs, just as different 'net' ventures, for example, wordnet, verbnet, and others.

Physics and Chemistry

Graph theory is also used to contemplate atoms in science and material science. in dense issue material science, the three-dimensional structure of complicated reenacted nuclear structures can be concentrated quantitatively by get-together insights on graph-theoretic properties identified with the geography of the particles. also, "the feynman graphs and rules of calculation sum up quantum field theory in a structure in close contact with the experimental numbers one needs to comprehend." in science a graph makes a characteristic model for a particle, where vertices speak to molecules and edges bonds. this methodology is particularly utilized in computer handling of atomic structures, running from compound editors to information base looking. in factual material science, graphs can speak to neighborhood connections between interfacing parts of a system, just as the elements of a physical cycle on such systems. correspondingly, in computational neuroscience graphs can be utilized to speak to functional connections between cerebrum regions that interface to offer ascent to different intellectual cycles, where the vertices speak to various zones of the mind and the edges speak to the connections between those regions. graph theory assumes a significant function in electrical displaying of electrical networks, here, loads are related with opposition of the wire segments to acquire electrical properties of network structures. graphs are also used to speak to the miniature size channels of permeable media, in which the vertices speak to the pores and the edges speak to the littler channels interfacing the pores. substance graph theory utilizes the atomic graph as a way to display particles. graphs and networks are brilliant models to consider and comprehend stage transitions and basic phenomena. evacuation of hubs or edges prompts a basic transition where the network breaks into little a group which is concentrated as a stage transition. this breakdown is examined by means of percolation theory.

Social Sciences

Graph theory is also generally utilized in human science as a way, for instance, to quantify entertainers' distinction or to investigate gossip spreading, quite using social network analysis programming. under the umbrella of social networks are many various kinds of graphs. acquaintanceship and companionship graphs portray whether individuals know one another. impact graphs model whether certain individuals can impact the conduct of others. at last, collaboration graphs model whether two individuals cooperate with a specific goal in mind, for example, acting in a film together.

Biology

Similarly, graph theory is valuable in science and conservation endeavors where a vertex can speak to areas where certain species exist (or possess) and the edges speak to migration ways or movement between the districts. this information is significant when seeing reproducing examples or following the spread of illness, parasites or how changes to the movement can influence different species.

Graphs are also commonly utilized in sub-atomic science and genomics to display and investigate datasets with complex relationships. for instance, graph-based strategies are frequently used to 'bunch' cells together into cell-types in single-cell transcriptome examination. another use is to demonstrate qualities or proteins in a pathway and study the relationships between them, for example, metabolic pathways and quality administrative networks. evolutionary trees, natural networks, and various leveled bunching of quality articulation designs are also spoken to as graph structures. graph-based techniques are inescapable that specialists in certain fields of science and these will just become unmistakably more far reaching as innovation creates to use this sort of high-all through multidimensional information.

Connectomics

Graph theory is also utilized in connectomics; sensory systems can be viewed as a graph, where the hubs are neurons and the edges are the connections between them.

Mathematics

In mathematics/arithmetic, graphs are helpful in calculation and certain pieces of geography, for example, tie theory. mathematical graph theory has close connections with bunch theory. mathematical graph theory has been applied to many regions including dynamic systems and complexity.

Other Topics

A graph structure can be reached out by appointing a load to each edge of the graph. graphs with loads, or weighted graphs, are utilized to speak to structures in which pair-wise connections have some mathematical qualities. for instance, if a graph speaks to a street network, the loads could speak to the length of every street. there might be a few loads related with each edge, including separation (as in the past model), travel time, or monetary cost. such weighted graphs are commonly used to program gps's, and travel-arranging web indexes that compare flight times and expenses.

Conclusion

It very well may be inferred that network theory is the application of graph-theoretic standards to the investigation of complex, dynamic collaborating systems it gives methods to also examining the structure of connecting operators when additional, significant information is given. the applications of network theory, as expressed in the articles paving the way to this piece are expansive and industry-agnotisc. from computer science to electrical designing and to game-theory to online media examination, the fundamentals of network theory offer an amazing mental model to expand our comprehension of current systems. beginning from the very fundamentals of graph theory history we have now advanced right through to the focal point of network theory. however this is just platform for real graph and network theory applications the following stage is to mess with the many all around made graph and network visualization and examination tools.

References

1. Atkins, Karla & Chen, Jiangzhuo & Kumar, Sritesh & Marathe, Achla. (2009). The structure of electrical networks: A graph theory based analysis. IJCIS. 5. 265-284. 10.1504/ijcis.2009.024874.
2. Bassey, U & Bassey, K & Bassey, Etim. (2013). Synthesis and performance analysis of network topology using graph theory. Network and Complex Systems. volume 3. 46-53.
3. Borgatti, Stephen & halgin, Daniel. (2011). On network theory. Organization Science. 22. 10.1007/978-1-4419-5513-5_2.
4. Fayez, Mohamed. (2016). Graph theory and its application in electrical power system.. 10.13140/rg.2.2.24177.48481.
5. Gao, Wei & Wu, Hualong & Siddiqui, Muhammad & Baig, A.. (2017). Study of biological networks using graph theory. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences. 25. 10.1016/j.sjbs.2017.11.022.
6. Kutnar, Klavdija & Marušič, Dragan. (2009). Some topics in graph theory. 10.1007/978-3-540-68409-1_1.
7. Loh, Ser Lee & Gan, Chin & Cheong, Tau Han & Salleh, Shaharuddin & Sarmin, Nor. (2016). An overview on network diagrams: Graph-based representation. 8. 83-86.
8. Michael, D. & Battiston, Stefano. (2009). From graph theory to models of economic networks. A Tutorial. 10.1007/978-3-540-68409-1_2.
9. Nafiu, Lukman & Saliu, Adam & Shehu, M.D.. (2009). On the application of shortest path algorithm in graph theory to road network analysis. Journal of Mathematical Sciences. 4.
10. O.Ghaffari, H.. (2011). Fracture networks: Analysis with graph theory, Lbm and Fem. corr. abs/1107.4918.

11. Pavlopoulos, Georgios & Secrier, Maria & Moschopoulos, Charalampos & Soldatos, Theodoros & Kossida, Sophia & Aerts, Jan & Schneider, Reinhard & Bagos, Pantelis. (2011). Using graph theory to analyze biological networks. Biodata Mining. 4. 10. 10.1186/1756-0381-4-10.
12. Truong, Quoc-Dinh & Dkaki, Taoufiq & Truong, Quoc-Bao. (2016). Graph methods for social network analysis. 168. 276-286. 10.1007/978-3-319-46909-6_25.
13. Van Steen, Maarten. (2010). Graph theory and complex networks: An introduction.